Milikić, M. (2026). Ecological resilience as a framework for long-term environmental protection and sustainable well-being: A review, *SCIENCE International journal, 5*(1), 83-88.
doi: 10.35120/sciencej0501083m UDK: 502.131.1:[502.17:551.583

ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE AS A FRAMEWORK FOR LONG-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND SUSTAINABLE WELL-BEING: A REVIEW

Marijana Milikić[1*]

[1]Ministry of Defence, Republic of Serbia, Faculty of Technical Sciences, The University "Economics Academy" Brcko District of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, e-mail: makimilikic@gmail.com

Abstract: Ecological resilience has emerged as a central framework in contemporary environmental governance and sustainable development, particularly under accelerating climate change and increasing anthropogenic pressures. This review examines resilience as an emergent and multi-dimensional property of ecosystems and social–ecological systems, enabling the absorption of disturbances, adaptive responses, and the preservation of core functions essential for long-term environmental protection and human well-being. Drawing upon interdisciplinary literature, the paper synthesises key resilience mechanisms, including resistance, adaptability, recovery capacity, functional and genetic diversity, and the role of critical thresholds and hysteresis in system transformation. Particular attention is given to the interconnections between resilience, biodiversity conservation, ecosystem services, and climate adaptation strategies, including ecosystem-based approaches, green infrastructure, and local ecological knowledge. The review further evaluates methodological challenges in resilience assessment and discusses contemporary analytical frameworks, such as the Vigor–Organization–Resilience model and indicators of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity. The findings underscore the necessity of integrated, multi-scalar governance strategies that align ecological integrity with sustainable development pathways. Ecological resilience is thus positioned as both a conceptual foundation and an operational principle for safeguarding environmental stability and intergenerational quality of life.

Keywords: *ecological resilience, social–ecological systems, climate change, ecosystem, adaptive management.*
Field: Social Sciences, and Humanities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Accelerated climate change, biodiversity loss, land degradation, and rapid urbanisation are reshaping ecological systems and redefining the conditions under which human societies pursue development. Increasing frequency and intensity of climate extremes, including droughts, floods, heatwaves, and storms, are placing unprecedented pressure on ecosystems and the services they provide (Thonicke et al., 2020). In this context, ecological resilience has emerged as a central framework for understanding how ecosystems and social–ecological systems absorb disturbances, reorganise, and sustain essential functions necessary for long-term environmental protection and human well-being.

Resilience thinking, originally conceptualised as the capacity of a system to withstand disturbance without shifting into an alternative stable state, has evolved into an interdisciplinary lens linking ecological processes, governance systems, and sustainable development (Folke, 2016). Rather than emphasising static equilibrium, resilience focuses on persistence, adaptability, and transformability in complex adaptive systems. This perspective is particularly relevant in the Anthropocene, where human and ecological processes are tightly coupled and embedded within the biosphere.

Climate change not only disrupts ecosystem structure and functioning but also challenges the delivery of ecosystem services critical for food security, water regulation, carbon sequestration, and climate regulation. For example, climate-smart agricultural practices have been shown to enhance farm productivity, strengthen resilience to climatic shocks, and contribute to greenhouse gas mitigation, thereby linking ecological resilience with socio-economic sustainability (Zheng et al., 2024). Similarly, urban ecosystem services (including temperature regulation, air purification, and stormwater management) are increasingly recognised as essential buffers against climate-related risks (Pandey & Ghosh, 2023).

Nature-based solutions and ecosystem-based adaptation strategies further demonstrate how resilience can be operationalised in practice. Ecosystem-based adaptation integrates biodiversity conservation, sustainable land management, and community participation to enhance adaptive capacity in vulnerable regions (Tiwari et al., 2022). In coastal and island contexts, strengthening both social and ecological resilience has proven critical for coping with sea-level rise, extreme weather events, and food insecurity (Mcleod et al., 2019). These approaches underline that ecological resilience is not solely

*Corresponding author: makimilikic@gmail.com

an ecological attribute but a multi-scalar property emerging from interactions between ecosystems, institutions, and communities. Against this backdrop, ecological resilience provides a unifying conceptual and operational framework for long-term environmental protection and sustainable quality of life. By integrating ecosystem integrity, adaptive management, and cross-scale governance, resilience-oriented strategies can reduce vulnerability, enhance ecosystem services, and align environmental protection with socio-economic development pathways. This review synthesises contemporary theoretical and applied perspectives on ecological resilience, examining its mechanisms, measurement approaches, and policy implications in the context of climate change and sustainable development.

The remainder of the paper proceeds by first outlining the methodological approach adopted in this review, including the criteria for literature selection, the stages of identification and screening, and the thematic framework used to analyse the selected studies. The subsequent part presents the main findings, synthesising theoretical, empirical, and policy-oriented perspectives on ecological resilience across multiple spatial and governance scales. Particular attention is given to resilience mechanisms, ecosystem-based adaptation practices, climate-smart strategies, and their contributions to environmental protection and sustainable development. This is followed by a discussion that critically examines the multi-dimensional and multi-scalar character of resilience, the synergies between ecosystem integrity and socio-economic wellbeing, and the methodological challenges associated with resilience assessment. The paper concludes by highlighting the strategic relevance of ecological resilience for long-term environmental governance and by identifying directions for future research and policy innovation.

2. METHODS

This paper adopts a structured narrative review approach to synthesise contemporary theoretical and applied perspectives on ecological resilience as a framework for long-term environmental protection and sustainable quality of life. The review integrates interdisciplinary literature from ecology, environmental management, climate adaptation, urban sustainability, and socio-ecological systems research. A systematic literature search was conducted using Google Scholar as the primary search engine. Only peer-reviewed journal articles published in English between 2016 and 2024 were considered, with particular emphasis on studies addressing resilience mechanisms, climate change impacts, ecosystem services, and governance frameworks. The selection process followed three stages: identification, screening, and inclusion. In the identification phase, potentially relevant articles were collected based on title and abstract relevance. During screening, duplicates were removed and studies not directly addressing ecological resilience or related adaptation frameworks were excluded. In the inclusion phase, full-text articles were assessed for conceptual clarity, methodological robustness, and relevance to the objectives of the review. Particular attention was given to studies proposing measurable resilience indicators, applied case studies, and policy-oriented frameworks. The selected literature was analysed thematically.

Studies were grouped into four analytical categories:

(1) theoretical foundations of ecological resilience;
(2) resilience mechanisms and indicators;
(3) ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices; and
(4) governance and multi-scalar management approaches.

This thematic synthesis enabled identification of conceptual convergences, methodological gaps, and emerging research trends. While the review does not employ meta-analytical statistical aggregation, it ensures analytical rigour through transparent selection criteria and cross-disciplinary integration, thereby providing a comprehensive overview of resilience-based environmental strategies in the context of climate change and sustainable development.

3. RESULTS

The synthesis of the seventeen reviewed studies reveals a strong interdisciplinary convergence around ecological resilience as a central framework for addressing climate change and long-term environmental sustainability. Across conceptual, empirical, and systematic review studies, resilience is consistently framed as a multi-dimensional property emerging from interactions between ecological processes, social systems, and governance structures. Conceptual contributions emphasise the dynamic nature of resilience, highlighting adaptability, transformability, and cross-scale interactions within social–ecological systems. Empirical studies demonstrate that resilience can be operationalised through measurable indicators, including ecosystem vitality, organization, recovery capacity, biodiversity integrity, and adaptive governance mechanisms. Spatial modelling approaches, such as landscape-based

ecosystem health assessments, confirm that resilience varies significantly across scales, with watershed or ecosystem-based units often providing the most coherent analytical framework.

Table 1. Methodological characteristics of the reviewed studies (n = 17)

Author(s), Year	Study Type	Conceptual / Analytical Framework	Spatial Scale	Data Sources	Methodological Approach	Resilience Dimension
Anderson & Gough (2021)	Conceptual / Framework-based	Climate variability impacts	Regional	Climate datasets	Statistical analysis	Socio-ecological
Angeler & Allen (2016)	Conceptual	Cross-scale resilience	Multi-scale	Literature	Conceptual modelling	Ecological
Clifford et al. (2020)	Qualitative empirical	Climate adaptation pathways	Regional	Interviews & focus groups	Scenario-based analysis	Socio-ecological
Folke (2016)	Conceptual	Social–ecological resilience	Global	Literature	Theoretical synthesis	Socio-ecological
Gann et al. (2019)	Policy framework	Ecological restoration standards	Global	Policy documents	Framework analysis	Socio-ecological
Green et al. (2016)	Empirical (case-based)	Ecosystem insurance value	Urban	Case studies	Comparative analysis	Socio-ecological (urban focus)
Huang et al. (2023)	Quantitative empirical	Vulnerability–resilience assessment	Regional	Indicator datasets	Fuzzy modelling	Socio-ecological
Kabeyi & Olanrewaju (2022)	Review	Sustainable energy transition	Global	Literature	Comparative synthesis	Multi-dimensional sustainability
Keesstra et al. (2016)	Forum paper / integrative review	Soil ecosystem services & SDGs	Global	Literature & policy reports	Integrative review	Socio-ecological
Kupika et al. (2019)	Empirical (mixed methods)	Local ecological knowledge	Local	Surveys & interviews	Mixed methods	Socio-ecological
Law et al. (2022)	Policy & modelling	Forest carbon reserves	National	Forest carbon datasets	Carbon & biodiversity analysis	Socio-ecological
Lei et al. (2023)	Quantitative spatial	Vigor–Organization–Resilience model	Watershed	GIS & landscape data	Spatial modelling	Ecological
Mcleod et al. (2019)	Perspective / case-based	Ecosystem-based adaptation	Regional	Case examples	Policy & practice analysis	Socio-ecological
Pandey & Ghosh (2023)	Narrative review	Urban ecosystem services	Urban	Literature	Thematic synthesis	Socio-ecological
Thonicke et al. (2020)	Conceptual / analytical	Social–ecological resilience framework	Multi-scale	Interdisciplinary synthesis	Conceptual modelling	Socio-ecological
Tiwari et al. (2022)	Systematic review	Ecosystem-based adaptation	European coastal urban areas	PRISMA-reviewed studies	Evidence synthesis	Socio-ecological
Zheng et al. (2024)	Systematic review	Climate-smart agriculture	Global	PRISMA-reviewed studies	PRISMA synthesis	Socio-ecological

Source: Author's research

Note: SDGs – Sustainable Development Goals; GIS – Geographic Information Systems; PRISMA – Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

In addition, several studies underscore the importance of biodiversity and ecosystem integrity as foundational components of resilience. Higher levels of functional and genetic diversity are consistently associated with greater system stability, enhanced recovery potential, and reduced vulnerability to climate extremes. Research focusing on forest carbon reserves and soil ecosystem services further illustrates that ecosystem conservation not only strengthens resilience but also contributes to climate mitigation through improved carbon sequestration and ecosystem service provision.

Systematic reviews examining climate-smart agriculture and ecosystem-based adaptation highlight the integrative capacity of resilience-oriented practices to generate multiple co-benefits. These practices simultaneously enhance agricultural productivity, improve adaptive capacity to climatic variability, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Urban-focused research similarly demonstrates that green infrastructure, urban forests, and ecosystem services such as temperature regulation and stormwater management play a critical buffering role in reducing exposure and sensitivity to climate-related risks.

Moreover, qualitative and mixed-methods studies emphasise the role of local ecological knowledge, participatory governance, and community-based adaptation strategies in strengthening social and institutional dimensions of resilience. These findings collectively suggest that resilience is not confined to ecological robustness alone, but is co-produced through interactions between ecological systems, human agency, and governance arrangements. Overall, the evidence indicates that ecological resilience operates as both an analytical lens and a practical framework for linking ecosystem health, adaptive management, and sustainable development across diverse environmental contexts.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings of this review reinforce the understanding that ecological resilience constitutes a unifying framework capable of integrating environmental protection, climate adaptation, and sustainable development objectives. Across theoretical and empirical studies, resilience is consistently conceptualised as a dynamic property of social–ecological systems, characterised by adaptability, recovery capacity, and the ability to reorganise under disturbance. This confirms the transition from equilibrium-based ecological thinking toward a systems-oriented perspective that recognises uncertainty, thresholds, and non-linear change. A key insight emerging from the reviewed literature is the multi-scalar nature of resilience. Empirical analyses demonstrate that resilience varies significantly depending on spatial and institutional scale, with ecosystem-based or watershed-level assessments often providing more coherent evaluations than strictly administrative boundaries. This highlights the importance of aligning governance structures with ecological processes to ensure effective environmental management.

Furthermore, the evidence suggests that resilience-oriented practices generate multiple co-benefits. Climate-smart agriculture, ecosystem-based adaptation, urban green infrastructure, and forest conservation strategies not only enhance ecological stability but also strengthen food security, carbon sequestration, and socio-economic wellbeing. These synergies illustrate that resilience should not be interpreted solely as resistance to change, but rather as a proactive capacity to adapt and transform in response to evolving environmental conditions.

However, the review also reveals methodological fragmentation in resilience assessment. Diverse indicators, modelling techniques, and conceptual interpretations complicate cross-study comparability. This underscores the need for more standardised resilience metrics and integrated analytical frameworks capable of bridging ecological, social, and institutional dimensions. An additional critical insight concerns the interdependence between ecological resilience and governance quality. The reviewed studies suggest that ecological robustness alone is insufficient if not supported by adaptive institutions, participatory decision-making, and policy coherence across sectors. Governance systems that incorporate adaptive management principles, stakeholder engagement, and learning-oriented approaches are better positioned to respond to environmental shocks and long-term structural change. This reinforces the argument that resilience is co-produced through ecological processes and institutional arrangements.

Moreover, the increasing integration of ecosystem services into resilience frameworks signals a shift toward valuing nature not only for conservation purposes but also for its functional contributions to societal stability. Recognising ecosystem services as mediating mechanisms between ecological integrity and human wellbeing strengthens the policy relevance of resilience thinking. Nevertheless, trade-offs remain inevitable, particularly in rapidly urbanising or resource-intensive regions, where short-term economic priorities may undermine long-term ecological capacity.

Overall, ecological resilience emerges as both a conceptual and operational bridge between environmental integrity and sustainable quality of life. Future research should prioritise longitudinal studies, cross-scale governance analysis, and the integration of local knowledge systems to strengthen

the practical implementation of resilience-based environmental strategies.

5. CONCLUSION

This review demonstrates that ecological resilience provides a robust and integrative framework for advancing long-term environmental protection and sustainable quality of life in the context of accelerating climate change. By moving beyond static notions of stability, resilience thinking emphasises adaptability, transformability, and cross-scale interactions within complex social–ecological systems. Such a perspective is essential for navigating increasing environmental uncertainty and systemic risks. The analysed literature confirms that resilience-oriented approaches—ranging from ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart agriculture to urban green infrastructure and forest conservation—generate multiple ecological and socio-economic co-benefits. These practices simultaneously enhance ecosystem integrity, reduce vulnerability to climate extremes, strengthen carbon sequestration capacity, and support human wellbeing. The evidence therefore suggests that resilience is not merely a theoretical construct, but an operational principle guiding sustainable environmental governance. At the same time, the diversity of methodological approaches highlights the need for more harmonised resilience indicators and multi-scalar assessment frameworks. Integrating ecological metrics with social, institutional, and governance dimensions remains a critical research priority. Ultimately, safeguarding ecosystem integrity and strengthening adaptive capacity are indispensable for aligning environmental protection with sustainable development pathways. Ecological resilience thus emerges as a strategic foundation for ensuring environmental stability and intergenerational wellbeing in an era of profound global change.

REFERENCES

Anderson, V., & Gough, W. A. (2021). Harnessing the four horsemen of climate change: A framework for deep resilience, decarbonization, and planetary health in Ontario, Canada. Sustainability, 13(1), 379. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010379

Angeler, D. G., & Allen, C. R. (2016). Quantifying resilience. Journal of Applied Ecology, 53(3), 617-624. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12649

Clifford, K. R., Yung, L., Travis, W. R., Rondeau, R., Neely, B., Rangwala, I., Burkardt, N., & Wyborn, C. (2020). Navigating climate adaptation on public lands: How views on ecosystem change and scale interact with management approaches. Environmental Management, 66(4), 614-628. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-020-01336-y

Folke, C. (2016). Resilience (republished). Ecology and society, 21(4). https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09088-210444

Gann, G. D., McDonald, T., Walder, B., Aronson, J., Nelson, C. R., Jonson, J., Hallett, J. G., Eisenberg, C., Guariguata, M. R., Liu, J., Hua, F., Echeverra, C., Gonzales, E. K., Shaw, N. L., Decleer, K., & Dixon, K. W. (2019). International principles and standards for the practice of ecological restoration. Restoration Ecology. 27 (S1): S1-S46. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13035

Green, T. L., Kronenberg, J., Andersson, E., Elmqvist, T., & Gómez-Baggethun, E. (2016). Insurance value of green infrastructure in and around cities. Ecosystems, 19(6), 1051-1063. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-9986-x

Huang, B., Zha, R., Chen, S., Zha, X., & Jiang, X. (2023). Fuzzy evaluation of ecological vulnerability based on the SRP-SES method and analysis of multiple decision-making attitudes based on OWA operators: A case of Fujian Province, China. Ecological Indicators, 153, 110432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110432

Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. Frontiers in Energy research, 9, 743114. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2021.743114

Keesstra, S. D., Bouma, J., Wallinga, J., Tittonell, P., Smith, P., Cerdà, A., Montanarella, L., Quinton, J., Pachepsky, Y., Putten, W. H. van der, Bardgett, R. D., Moolenaar, S. W., Mol, G., Jansen, B., & Fresco, L. O. (2016). Forum paper: The significance of soils and soil science towards realization of the UN sustainable development goals (SDGS). Soil Discussions, 2016, 1-28. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2-111-2016

Kupika, O. L., Gandiwa, E., Nhamo, G., & Kativu, S. (2019). Local Ecological Knowledge on Climate Change and Ecosystem Based Adaptation Strategies Promote Resilience in the Middle Zambezi Biosphere Reserve, Zimbabwe. Scientifica, 2019(1), 3069254. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3069254

Law, B. E., Moomaw, W. R., Hudiburg, T. W., Schlesinger, W. H., Sterman, J. D., & Woodwell, G. M. (2022). Creating strategic reserves to protect forest carbon and reduce biodiversity losses in the United States. Land, 11(5), 721. https://doi.org/10.3390/land11050721

Lei, D., Zhang, Y., Ran, Y., Gao, L., Li, J., Li, Z., Mo, J., & Liu, X. (2023). Assessment of ecosystem health based on landscape pattern in ecologically fragile regions at different spatial scales: A case study of Dianchi Lake basin, China. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 11, 1076344. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1076344

Mcleod, E., Bruton-Adams, M., Förster, J., Franco, C., Gaines, G., Gorong, B., James, R., Posing-Kulwaum, G., Tara, M., & Terk, E. (2019). Lessons from the Pacific Islands–adapting to climate change by supporting social and ecological resilience. Frontiers in Marine Science, 6, 289. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00289

Pandey, B., & Ghosh, A. (2023). Urban ecosystem services and climate change: a dynamic interplay. Frontiers in Sustainable Cities, 5, 1281430. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2023.1281430

Thonicke, K., Bahn, M., Lavorel, S., Bardgett, R. D., Erb, K., Giamberini, M., Reichstein, M., Vollan, B., & Rammig, A. (2020). Advancing the understanding of adaptive capacity of social-ecological systems to absorb climate extremes. Earth's Future, 8(2), e2019EF001221. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001221

Tiwari, A., Rodrigues, L. C., Lucy, F. E., & Gharbia, S. (2022). Building climate resilience in coastal city living labs using ecosystem-based adaptation: A systematic review. Sustainability, 14(17), 10863. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710863

Zheng, H., Ma, W., & He, Q. (2024). Climate-smart agricultural practices for enhanced farm productivity, income, resilience, and greenhouse gas mitigation: a comprehensive review. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 29(4), 28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-024-10124-6